operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 United States Bankruptcy Court One Bowling Green New York, New York 11 12 May 14, 2013 13 10:18 AM 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: HON. MARTIN GLENN U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE 25	2
3 4 5 6 7 8	
4 5 6 7 8	
United States Bankruptcy Court United States Bankruptcy Court Doe Bowling Green New York, New York May 14, 2013 13 10:18 AM 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: HON. MARTIN GLENN U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
0 United States Bankruptcy Court 0 One Bowling Green 10 New York, New York 11 12 May 14, 2013 13 10:18 AM 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
United States Bankruptcy Court One Bowling Green New York, New York May 14, 2013 13 10:18 AM 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: HON. MARTIN GLENN 14 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
8 United States Bankruptcy Court 9 One Bowling Green 10 New York, New York 11 12 May 14, 2013 13 10:18 AM 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
9 One Bowling Green 10 New York, New York 11 12 May 14, 2013 13 10:18 AM 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
10 New York, New York 11 12 May 14, 2013 13 10:18 AM 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
11 12	
12 May 14, 2013 13 10:18 AM 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
13 10:18 AM 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: HON. MARTIN GLENN U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 BEFORE: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
17 18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
18 19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
19 20 21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
20 21 22 BEFORE: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
21 22 B E F O R E: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
22 BEFORE: 23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
23 HON. MARTIN GLENN 24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
24 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
25	
eScribers, LLC (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net www.escribers.net	

```
1
 2
    (CC: Doc #3374, 3375) Debtors' Motion for Entry of an Order to
    Permit the Debtors to Continue Using Cash Collateral.
 3
 4
    (CC: Doc# 2274) Adj. Hearing Re: Motion for Relief from Stay
 5
    filed by Jeffrey L. Saltiel on behalf of Med&G Group, L.P..
 6
 7
 8
    Motion of Albina Tikhonov for Contempt of Bankruptcy. (related
 9
    document(s) 2627)
10
11
    (CC: Doc# 3383) Motion to Vacate Automatic Stay.
12
13
    Adversary proceeding: 12-01935-mg Jenkins et al v. Residential
14
    Funding Company, LLC et al (CC: Doc no.1) Adjourned Case
15
    Management Conference.
16
17
    Adversary proceeding 13-01262-mg Residential Capital, LLC, et
    al. v. AllState Insurance Company, et al. Status Conference
19
20
    Transcribed by: Linda Ferrara
21
    eScribers, LLC
22
    700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607
23
    New York, NY 10040
24
    (973)406-2250
25
    operations@escribers.net
```

1 2 APPEARANCES: MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 3 4 Attorneys for Debtors 5 1290 Avenue of the Americas 6 New York, NY 10104 7 8 BY: NORMAN S. ROSENBAUM, ESQ. 9 JAMES A. NEWTON, ESQ. 10 TODD M. GOREN, ESQ. 11 JONATHAN ROTHBERG, ESQ. 12 SAMANTHA MARTIN, ESQ. 13 GARY LEE, ESQ. 14 ANTHONY PRINCI, ESQ. 15 16 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP Attorneys for Official Creditors' Committee 17 18 1177 Avenue of the Americas 19 New York, NY 10036 20 21 BY: KENNETH H. ECKSTEIN, ESQ. 22 ELISE S. FREJKA, ESQ. 23 GREGORY A. HOROWITZ, ESQ. 24 JOSEPH A. SHIFER, ESQ. 25 PHILIP S. KAUFMAN, ESQ.

```
1
 2
    SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
          Special Counsel to Creditors' Committee
 3
 4
          100 Jericho Quadrangle
 5
          Suite 300
          Jericho, NY 11753
 6
 7
 8
    BY:
          JUSTIN S. KRELL, ESQ.
 9
10
    CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
11
          Attorneys for Wilmington Trust
12
          One Liberty Plaza
13
          New York, NY 10006
14
15
    BY:
          MARK A. LIGHTNER, ESQ.
16
          THOMAS MOLONEY, ESQ.
17
    SEAN A. O'NEAL, ESQ.
18
    KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
19
20
          Attorneys for Ally Financial Inc. & Ally Bank
21
          601 Lexington Avenue
22
          New York, NY 10022
23
24
    BY: RAY C. SCHROCK, ESQ.
25
```

```
1
 2
    KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
 3
          Attorneys for UMB Bank
 4
          101 Park Avenue
 5
          New York, NY 10178
 6
 7
    BY: BENJAMIN D. FEDER, ESQ.
 8
 9
    WHITE & CASE LLP
10
          Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Junior Secured Noteholders
          1155 Avenue of the Americas
11
12
          New York, NY 10036
13
14
    BY: HARRISON DENMAN, ESQ.
15
          J. CHRISTOPHER SHORE, ESQ.
16
17
18
    JONES DAY
19
          Attorneys for FGIC
20
          222 East 41st Street
21
          New York, NY 10017
22
23
    BY: HOWARD F. SIDMAN, ESQ.
24
25
```

1 2 WENIG SALTIEL LLP 3 Attorneys for MED&G Group 4 26 Court Street 5 Suite 1200 6 Brooklyn, NY 11242 7 8 BY: WILLIAM E. BANEY, ESQ. 9 10 CADWALADER, WICKERSHAM & TAFT LLP 11 Attorneys for MBIA 12 700 Sixth Street, N.W. 13 Washington, D.C. 20001 14 15 BY: MARK C. ELLENBERG, ESQ. 16 17 CADWALADER, WICKERSHAM & TAFT LLP 18 Attorneys for MBIA 19 One World Financial Center 20 New York, N.Y. 10112 21 22 BY: JONATHAN M. HOFF, ESQ. 23 24 25

1		
2	CARTER	LEDYARD & MILBURN LLP
3		Attorneys for Talcott Franklin Group Investors
4		2 Wall Street
5		New York, N.Y. 10005
6		
7	BY:	AARON R. CAHN, ESQ.
8		
9	HOGAN	LOVELLS US LLP
10		Attorneys for U.S. Bank as Trustee
11		And America's Servicing Company
12		875 Third Avenue
13		New York, N.Y. 10022
14		
15	BY:	BRIAN GRIECO, ESQ.
16		
17		
18	CURTIS	, MALLET-PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP
19		Attorneys for Conflicts Counsel for the Debtor
20		101 Park Avenue
21		New York, N.Y. 10178-0061
22		
23	BY:	THERESA A. FOUDY, ESQ.
24		
25		

```
1
 2
    CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP
          Attorneys for Examiner
 3
 4
          30 Rockefeller Plaza
 5
          New York, N.Y. 10122
 6
 7
    BY: MICHAEL G. DISTEFANO, ESQ.
 8
 9
    DECHERT LLP
10
          Attorneys for Bank of New York Mellon
          1095 Avenue of the Americas
11
12
          New York, N.Y. 10036-6797
13
14
    BY:
          JAMES O. MOORE, ESQ.
15
16
    ROPES & GRAY LLP
17
          Attorneys for RMBS Steering Committee
18
          1211 Avenue of the Americas
19
          New York, N.Y. 10036-8704
20
21
    BY:
          KEITH H. WOFFORD, ESQ.
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
 2
    LOWENSTEIN SANDLER
 3
          Attorneys for NJ Carpenters, et al.
 4
          1251 Avenue of the Americas
 5
          New York, N.Y. 10020
 6
 7
    BY: MICHAEL ETIKIN, ESQ.
 8
 9
    SHAEV & FLEISCHMAN, LLP
10
          Attorneys for Sylvia Dadzie
          350 Fifth Avenue
11
          Suite 7210
12
13
          New York, N.Y. 10118
14
15
    BY: DAVID B. SHAEV, ESQ.
16
17
    GARVEY, TIRELLI & CUSHNER LLP
18
          Attorneys for Sylvia Dadzie
19
          The Westchester Financial Center
20
          50 Main Street
21
          Suite 390
          White Plains, N.Y. 10606
22
23
24
    BY: LINDA TIRELLI, ESQ.
25
                     eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
```

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

```
1
 2
 3
    ALSO PRESENT:
 4
          SHARON JENKINS, pro se (Telephonically)
 5
          NELSON COHEN, Zuckerman Spaeder, LLP (Telephonically)
 6
          JOSEPH H. HUSTON, Stevens & Lee, PC (Telephonically)
 7
          JAMES P. WATKINS, Bradley, Arant, Bolt, Cummings, LLP
 8
                             (Telephonically)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Please be seated. We're here in Residential Capital, number 12-12020.

MR. GOREN: Thank you, Your Honor. Todd Goren,
Morrison & Foerster, on behalf of the debtors. Happy
anniversary, first of all. Today is our -- or it's the one
year anniversary of our filing.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOREN: A lot of progress has been made recently as I know you're aware and hopefully there will be some announcements of that shortly. The first item on the agenda is the debtors' motion for a non-consensual use of cash collateral. In light of all the developments in the case, the parties have consulted and agreed that it makes sense to adjourn this out to the June 12th hearing. We would like to designate that as an evidentiary hearing. We believe it will be a very limited evidentiary hearing. No live testimony. The evidence will be limited to the declarations already on file and deposition designations. So, we expect it to be in court, really just argument. But there will be some limited evidence that will be part of the record.

THE COURT: That's fine. It's a pretty long agenda already. Hopefully it will be pared down by then. Mr. Shore?

MR. SHORE: Just a comment on the evidence, Your Honor. We'll need to schedule some time for argument and I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
think the evidence, as Mr. Goren said, would be pretty limited.
They had submitted two declarations; Ms. Horner, who had
testified with respect to the 30.4 million dollars and go
forward costs, I think we've resolved that now, so that she's
not a witness who is even relevant, much less necessary for
live testimony.
         We may have some cross of Mr. Puntus, who provided
testimony to the Court on adequate protection. I think it
would be very limited. We're going to try to work through
issues over the next thirty days and hopefully we can get
somewhere. We may not be able to get somewhere but as the
Court knows, I think from the papers that have been submitted,
there's a big dispute over what do we do with respect to prior
costs; that big number.
         THE COURT: Yes, I read your supplemental papers
and --
         MR. SHORE: Okay. So, I think -- I don't know that we
need a lot of time on that date but we are going to need some
time, both for argument and maybe a little bit of cross.
         THE COURT: What I would -- so we have a ResCap
hearing on the afternoon of June 6th and let's just add this
just as a status conference and we can talk then about what's
going to happen on the 12th. Okay?
        MR. SHORE: Very good, Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: All right.

1 MR. GOREN: That makes sense. 2 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Goren. MR. GOREN: Okay. 3 4 THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Shore. MR. GOREN: And we have a stipulation extending cash 5 6 collateral. It limits what we can use of cash collateral for 7 the next thirty days, which I assume we just submit to your 8 chambers after the hearing. 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MR. SHORE: Would you like us to walk you through exactly what's happening on that? I mean, we have essentially 11 12 a consensual bridge order to the next -- to the 14th then which 13 would provide that the debtors can spend up to six million 14 dollars on the go forward stuff -- or sorry, up to five million 15 dollars on the go forward stuff and then make adequate 16 protection payments but other than that current use of cash 17 collateral is terminated. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Goren, do you --19 MR. GOREN: Yes. No, I mean that's essentially right 20 that we're just -- we're paying the stuff that Mr. Shore says 21 they've agreed on; the accrued and unpaid expenses that are the 22 subject of the dispute. We will, to the extent they need to be paid over the next thirty days, they'll be funded with 23 24 unencumbered and there's a full reservation of rights for the

debtors and to seek to reallocate those funds as part of the

25

```
order otherwise.
 1
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, we'll -- the June
 2
 3
    6th is an afternoon, it's 2 o'clock. So, you'll add it to the
 4
    -- add just the status conference on this to the June 6th,
 5
    okay?
             MR. GOREN: Okay.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: All right.
             MR. GOREN: Thank you.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Goren.
10
             MR. GOREN: I'll turn the podium over to Mr. Newton.
11
             THE COURT: Okay.
12
             MR. NEWTON: Good morning, Your Honor. James Newton,
13
    Morrison & Foerster on behalf of the debtors.
14
             The next matter on the agenda is a motion for relief
    from stay filed by MED&G. It's docket number 2274.
15
             THE COURT: Right.
16
17
             MR. NEWTON: Your Honor will recall that the parties
18
    stipulated to permit MED&G to proceed with its equitable claims
19
    in the underlying action. So, the only remaining issue relates
    to the monetary claims that MED&G has asserted in that action.
20
21
    Since it's MED&G's motion, I will turn the podium over to
22
    MED&G's counsel.
23
             THE COURT: Thank you.
24
             MR. BANEY: Good morning, Your Honor. William Baney,
```

Wenig Saltiel for the movant.

Your Honor, we asked that this motion -- the remainder of the motion be adjourned to the omnibus hearing date of June 12th. The reason for this is the parties in the underlying case are exploring a settlement whereby the proof of claim from the Inoways (ph.), the plaintiff in the underlying case will assign their proof of claim to movant in which case the monetary claims in the underlying case will become relevant and we will be seeking relief from stay to proceed to address our monetary damages.

THE COURT: Mr. Newton?

MR. NEWTON: Your Honor, at this point, I don't think the debtors see a purpose in adjourning this matter out any further. At the April 30th hearing, Your Honor denied MED&G's motion to file a late proof of claim. So, all that we're left with in connection with this motion is MED&G is seeking relief to pursue monetary claims that it's barred from asserting against the debtors. There's a trial --

THE COURT: To get an assignment of the proof of claim, they'll deal with at the time.

MR. NEWTON: I don't think that has anything to do with the motion that's on for today.

THE COURT: Yes, that's what I don't understand because if you get an assignment of the claim what the -- Inoways or however they pronounce their name, they're proceeding to trial; right?

MR. BANEY: No, Your Honor. If we can do the --1 2 THE COURT: Oh, you're trying to settle it. MR. BANEY: Yeah, if we would do the settlement, the 3 4 property would go back to the plaintiffs, we would get their 5 proof of claim to seek monetary damages against the debtors to 6 proceed with our monetary claims against the debtors. 7 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to decide now whether 8 -- go through the claims allow -- if you get an assignment of 9 the claim, you can go through the claims allowance process. 10 the case trial-ready in California? 11 MR. BANEY: Yes, Your Honor, it's the end of May. I'm 12 not sure if it's the 30th or the 31st, but the trial -- if the 13 case goes forward and there's no settlement prior to, the trial 14 date is the end of May. THE COURT: All right. Well, so why does that have 15 anything to do with whether I rule on this motion now? 16 17 it's going to have no bearing on that at all; is it? 18 MR. BANEY: Correct, Your Honor. That's why we're asking it to be adjourned. 19 THE COURT: No, so that's why I am going to deny the 20 21 motion to lift the stay. This matter was, just so we're clear, 22 pending before the Court is the MED&G Group, LP, motion to lift the stay. It's at ECF 2274. MED&G asked the Court to lift the 23 24 automatic stay to permit it to assert counterclaims in the 25 California Superior Court action, Inoways v. GMAC Mortgage

Corp. It's case number SCV-248256. The debtors filed an objection to the motion at ECF 2680. On May 8, 2013, I entered an order -- the Court entered an order denying MED&G's request to file a late proof of claim in the debtors' bankruptcy proceeding. I won't go through the whole procedural history here. In determining whether MED&G has established cause to lift the stay, the Court considers the so-called Sonnax factors from the Second Circuit's decision in Sonnax Industries, 907 F.2d 1280 at page 1286 (2d Cir. 1990). Not all the factors are relevant in every case and causes a broad and flexible concept that must be determined on a case by case basis. The moving party bears the burden to demonstrate that good cause exists for lifting the stay using the Sonnax factors and the Court may deny the motion if the movant fails to make an initial showing of cause. See Sonnax 907 F.2d 1285.

The supplemental servicing order previously entered in this case already allows MED&G to pursue counterclaims 1 and 2, which were quiet title and declaratory judgment that MED&G was a bona fide purchaser. To the extent it does not, the debtors have agreed to stipulate that MED&G may pursue its actions to quiet title or obtain declaratory relief. MED&G will not be able to enforce any judgment ordered for counterclaims 3 and 4 against the debtors. Those are the damage claims.

The Court held that MED&G may not file late proof of claim in the debtors' bankruptcy case and thus MED&G is

"forever barred, estopped, enjoined" from asserting any prepetition claims against the debtor. See the bar order at paragraph 11.

Four of the Sonnax factors weigh against lifting the stay for counterclaims 3 and 4. Having considered all of the issues and arguments, the Court in the exercise of its discretion determines that the motion to lift the automatic stay is denied. If you get an assignment of the claim -- of a proof of claim that's been timely filed, you'll deal with it here. If you make another motion to lift the stay, I'll consider whether the state court is the appropriate forum in which to fix the amount of any claim. We have a claims allowance process and that's ordinarily how it gets done.

Certainly in other matters, I have lifted the stay when a case was about ready to go to trial and it would resolve the issue of the quantum of the claim but it's premature for me to decide that. So, for the reasons stated on the record, the motion to lift the stay is denied.

MR. BANEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Newton, just submit an order that simply recites that for the reasons stated on the record, the Court denies the motion to lift the stay.

MR. NEWTON: I will do that. Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the next item on the agenda is a motion for contempt of bankruptcy filed by Albina Tikhonov. This is

docket number 2627. I don't believe I heard anyone on the 1 2 phone for the Tikhonovs or in the court. THE COURT: All right. Is anybody on the phone for 3 4 the Tikhonovs? Is anybody in the courtroom for the Tikhonovs? I'll take the matter under submission. The motion is going to 5 6 be denied. 7 MR. NEWTON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: I'll enter an appropriate order. 8 MR. NEWTON: And I will turn the podium over to 9 10 Samantha Martin for the next one. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MS. MARTIN: Good morning, Your Honor. THE COURT: Good morning. 13 14 MS. MARTIN: Samantha Martin from Morrison & Foerster 15 on behalf of the debtors. 16

The next item on the agenda is a motion for stay relief filed by Ms. Dadzie. That's docket number 3383. As you know from the papers, Ms. Dadzie has a Chapter 13 case pending here before Judge Lane and in that case, GMAC Mortgage filed a proof of claim and Ms. Dadzie objected to the proof of claim.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Earlier today, Your Honor, we reached an agreement with Ms. Dadzie's counsel to allow us to enter into a stipulation. The stipulation would provide that Ms. Dadzie may file an adversary proceeding in her Chapter 13 case in order to resolve the GMAC proof of claim and it would also address some

```
equitable subordination issues. But the adversary proceeding
 1
 2
    would not include monetary damages.
             THE COURT: Okay. I see counsel for Ms. Dadzie is
 3
 4
    here? Mr. Shaev or?
 5
             MR. SHAEV: Good morning, Your Honor. David Shaev,
    Shaev & Fleischman. I'm co-counsel with Linda Tirelli.
 6
 7
             MS. TIRELLI: Good morning, Your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT: Nice to see you both.
 9
             MS. TIRELLI: Good to see you, Your Honor.
10
             MR. SHAEV: Good to see you. That's correct. I think
    we have reached an agreement subject to the wording and we'll
11
12
    circulate a proposal --
13
             THE COURT: You didn't really think I wanted to have
14
    Judge Lane transfer this case to me, did you?
             MR. SHAEV: No, Your Honor. We're simply pointing out
15
    the similarities in some prior cases that Your Honor may have
16
17
    handled. We knew that the Court would do what the Court is
18
    going to do anyway.
             THE COURT: Okay.
19
             MR. SHAEV: We'll file the --
20
21
             THE COURT: That's fine.
22
             MR. SHAEV: -- the APN (ph.), it will be signed
23
    properly.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much.
25
             MR. SHAEV: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

1 MS. TIRELLI: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Ms. Martin? MS. MARTIN: I will be ceding the podium --3 4 THE COURT: I can't hear you. I'm sorry. 5 MS. MARTIN: I'll be ceding the podium to Mr. Newton 6 now. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. NEWTON: Your Honor, the next items on the agenda 8 are the applications to employ PWC and two law firms. I saw 9 10 that the Court entered further interim orders earlier today. 11 THE COURT: Correct. MR. NEWTON: So moving along, the next matter is on 12 13 page 20 of the agenda. It's the adversary proceeding matter. 14 This is Jenkins v. Residential Funding Company adversary proceeding number 12-01935. Your Honor, we were last here on 15 16 the Jenkins matter during the March 21st omnibus hearing. At 17 that hearing, Your Honor granted the debtors' Rule 12(e) motion 18 for a more definition statement and directed that Jenkins would 19 have sixty days to file an amended complaint. You also requested that the debtors set a case conference prior to the 20 21 deadline to file the complaint and that is today. 22 Your Honor will recall that the Jenkins had indicated 23 on several occasions they were in the process of attempting to 24 retain counsel. Shortly prior to the originally schedule April 25 30th hearing, the debtors understand that SilvermanAcampora did hear from counsel for the Jenkins. After several attempts to
get them on the phone, we ended up adjourning the April 30th
hearing and we were able to speak with Mr. Brenner, who is
Jenkins' counsel, yesterday. He indicated that he's not been
formally retained by the Jenkins. He was referred through
Legal Aid. And has been asked to advise the Jenkins on matters
in this case.

We provided Mr. Brenner with some additional background information and informed him -- because the debtors are not the servicers on the loan, it's going to be difficult for us to reach a settlement with him, provide him with information, contact information for Mr. Grieco, who is here on behalf of America Servicing Company and also for the foreclosure firm in Georgia that is handling the foreclosure matter.

The debtors continue to believe this is a matter that should be taking place in Georgia. With that, I am happy to answer any questions. The amended complaint is due on the 20th. You know, we'll take it as it comes.

THE COURT: Okay. Is anybody from SilvermanAcampora want to be heard about this?

MR. KRELL: Your Honor, Justin Krell,
SilvermanAcampora, special counsel to the committee.

Mr. Newton pretty much described the current status of the adversary proceeding. The only thing I would add is that

1	the Jenkins did file a proof of claim, so they have rights also
2	asserted in the proof of claim, as well as in the adversary
3	proceeding. We made I would say proposed counsel. He's not
4	been formally retained as Mr. Newton pointed out regarding that
5	fact. And if a resolution could be accomplished, that's great
6	and Mr. Brenner will keep us apprised of any progress he can
7	make with foreclosure counsel.
8	THE COURT: All right. Is the Krell's proposed
9	counsel present? Is he
10	MR. KRELL: He wasn't planning on attending, Your
11	Honor.
12	THE COURT: He wasn't. Okay. So what's the deadline
13	for filling the amended complaint, Mr. Newton?
14	MR. NEWTON: I believe it's the 20th.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So, let's adjourn the go ahead,
16	do you want to say something else?
17	MR. KRELL: The Jenkins are on the phone, I believe.
18	THE COURT: Oh, Mr. and Mrs. Jenkins are you on the
19	phone?
20	MS. JENKINS: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Is there anything you want to indicate at
22	this point?
23	MS. JENKINS: We are currently in a as they just
24	stated currently talking with Attorney Brenner
25	THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JENKINS: -- and we should be updated on what we 1 2 should do by the end of the day. And we would just like to 3 move forward with whatever procedures that we need to do. 4 THE COURT: Okay, Mrs. Brenner (sic) -- excuse me, Mrs. Jenkins. Mr. Newton, what I would like to do is since 5 6 you've only very recently had communication with proposed 7 counsel for the Jenkins, let's adjourn this pretrial conference to the first omnibus after the deadline for filing an amended 8 complaint. If you're able to reach some resolution, you'll 9 10 report it then. And if an amended complaint is not filed, well we'll see what happens then. Okay? So, we'll move it then. 11 12 Mrs. Jenkins, do you understand? I'm going to 13 adjourn -- what is that date, do you know, Mr. Newton what the 14 date is? 15 MR. NEWTON: I believe it will be the June 6th hearing 16 that you mentioned earlier. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. NEWTON: Or the 12th. 19 THE COURT: So --20 MR. NEWTON: I'm being told the 6th is not an omnibus. 21 So it will be the 12th. 22 THE COURT: All right. So, Mrs. Jenkins, I'm going to 23 adjourn this hearing to June 12th. Let me ask you, Mrs. 24 Jenkins, are you working? Because I want to try and schedule 25 it so that it doesn't interfere with your workday, if possible.

1	MS. JENKINS: I'm self-employed. Yes, I work but I am
2	self-employed.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So you're able to call in by
4	telephone again?
5	MS. JENKINS: Absolutely.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, we'll adjourn the
7	hearing to June 12th. Mr. Newton, if you would, if you would
8	provide and make sure that Mrs. Jenkins has the call in
9	information for the hearing and hopefully, with the assistance
10	of Mr. Brenner, perhaps you'll be able to get this resolved
11	before then.
12	If you're able to get it resolved, and you submit it
13	in the form of a stipulation, it will be on the Court will
14	consider it and it will be unnecessary to go forward on June
15	12th. If you don't resolve it, we'll go forward then. Okay?
16	MS. JENKINS: Okay, well, sir
17	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Newton, thank you very much.
18	MR. JENKINS: will we still need to file that more
19	definitive statement by May 20?
20	THE COURT: You do.
21	MS. JENKINS: and submit
22	THE COURT: Yes, you do.
23	MS. JENKINS: We do. Okay.
24	THE COURT: You absolutely do, unless you get this
25	resolved. If you get it resolved, then it may be unnecessary

but if you're unable to get it resolved, that's the				
deadline's not changing. So, I am setting this for the				
conference after that date. I'll see whether you have either				
resolved the matter or filed the more definite statement.				
Okay?				
MS. JENKINS: Yes.				
THE COURT: Thank you.				
MS. JENKINS: Thank you so much.				
THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Jenkins. Go ahead, Mr.				
Newton.				
MR. NEWTON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll turn the				
podium over to Theresa Foudy of Curtis Mallet, conflicts				
counsel to the debtors.				
THE COURT: Okay.				
MS. FOUDY: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record,				
Theresa Foudy of Curtis Mallet, conflicts counsel for the				
debtors.				
This is the status conference on the debtors'				
adversary proceeding, ResCap, et al. v. AllState Insurance				
Company, et al. It's number 13-01262. This is the adversary				
proceeding seeking subordination of the claims of certain				
investors for securities fraud arising out of the debtors' RMBS				
securities.				
THE COURT: Right.				
MS. FOUDY: As Your Honor may recall, you granted us				

permission to file cross-motions for summary judgment; both the 1 2 debtor side and the investor side. Those motions have been filed and fully briefed. The parties were in agreement that 3 4 this matter can be decided as a matter of law and that no discovery was necessary. We agreed on a statement of 5 6 stipulated facts and stipulated exhibits for Your Honor and 7 we're currently scheduled for a hearing a week from Thursday, May 23rd at 2:00 p.m. 8

On the investor side, we had certain investors represented by Quinn Emanuel. They were joined by investors represented by Zuckerman Spaeder and Lowenstein Sandler. The debtors' motion was joined in part by the ad hoc committee for junior secured noteholders. As well, the RMBS trustees filed a paper indicating that they were incorporating by reference, their Rule 3013 motion papers as their summary judgment papers.

As Your Honor may recall, this started with a motion for Rule 3013 relief seeking clarification --

THE COURT: And the debtors filed an adversary -MS. FOUDY: So you recall. And Your Honor said that
the motions would be consolidated for purposes of hearing and
decision.

THE COURT: Right.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FOUDY: So there's also a number of other parties who filed oppositions to the Rule 3013 motions; The ad hoc committee of junior secured noteholders, the indentured trustee

for the senior unsecured notes, MBIA, Assured Guaranty 1 2 Insurance Company. I mean the debtors never felt so loved, Your Honor, that we had so much support on the opposition. 3 4 So that's where we stand. We're going to see you a 5 week from Thursday. THE COURT: Mr. Lee, is this going to get resolved? 6 7 MR. LEE: I was going to wait right until the end, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Well, okay, if you want to wait till the 9 10 end, you could wait till the end. 11 MR. LEE: No. Gary -- excuse me, Gary Lee of Morrison 12 & Foerster for the debtors. 13 Your Honor, I am pleased to report that we have 14 satisfied the first condition in the order that Your Honor 15 entered yesterday relating to the examiner's report which is 16 that the parties have exchanged signatures on the term sheets 17 that were referred to in that report. And if I may, because I didn't get an opportunity to 18 do this on the record yesterday, Judge Peck has put in what can 19 20 only be described as a staggering amount of effort into this 21 process and although we are not there, the fact is Your Honor 22 that we have taken one giant step forward towards a process by 23 which will wind up with a consensual plan of reorganization in

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

this case. And I can't get into the terms, Your Honor, but

obviously we will be filing a motion to approve the plan

24

25

support agreements. I think, Your Honor, the parties have confirmed on that date and I will be submitting the supplemental order in that regard.

But I just wanted to go on record, Your Honor, thanking Judge Peck and really all of the parties. I started with more hair and more teeth when this process began and I have less of both. But people worked incredibly hard.

THE COURT: Are you suggesting that you left your teeth in somebody's arm or what?

MR. LEE: I can see several people here who might say that. So, thank you.

So, with respect to the securities motion, that is part and parcel of the settlement. Your Honor, I think that what will happen -- and this is unfortunate -- is that the timing simply is that the plan support agreement motion is going to be filed, I think it is on the 23rd at 9:00 a.m. So, we will know obviously that day whether or not the hearing is going forward. And I apologize to Your Honor for that and the calendar as well. And it maybe that we just simply defer that motion if we have to.

THE COURT: I think what I --

MR. LEE: But I'll take direction.

THE COURT: All right. So first, the order I entered yesterday set a deadline for filing of the motion to approve the plan support agreements of May 21st at 9:00 a.m. The

debtors had requested that the deadline be set for May 23rd at 9:00 a.m.

In a chambers conference earlier this morning, that issue was briefly addressed again and the Court indicated that if all of the principal parties to the RMBS trial that's scheduled for May 28th consented to moving the date to May 23rd rather than the 21st at 9:00 a.m., that the Court was prepared to do that. That would be -- if no motion to approve a plan support agreement is filed by 9:00 a.m. May 23rd, the examiner's report would be publicly released. It was filed under seal yesterday.

I take it, Mr. Lee, you're indicating that all of -you were going to confer with the other participants, major
participants in the RMBS trial and confirm whether they all
agree to move the date to the 23rd, the significance being I
had indicated that I wanted in the event -- the trial date
remains fixed, May 28th, that in the event that the trial went
forward on the 28th, I wanted counsel to the parties in the
trial to have enough time to read and digest the examiner's
report. I understand you've accomplished a great deal to get
to where you are now and there remains a great deal that needs
to be done to get to May 21st or May 23rd but are you able to
confirm, Mr. Lee, that all of the principal parties to the RMBS
trial agree that the deadline for releasing the examiner's
report if no motion is filed, would be moved -- no motion to

```
approve a plan support agreement, is moved to May 23rd?
 1
 2
             MR. LEE: Your Honor, may I just confer with Mr.
 3
    Eckstein?
 4
             THE COURT: Please.
 5
         (Counsel confer)
 6
             MR. LEE: Your answer -- I understand from Mr.
 7
    Eckstein, the answer to that is in the affirmative.
             THE COURT: Okay.
 8
 9
             MR. LEE: But there's one -- but with one caveat. I
10
    believe that the junior secured noteholders are also a party to
    the or an objecting party, I think that's the one group that we
11
    haven't discussed this with yet.
12
             THE COURT: All right. I didn't expect I was going to
13
14
    have to deal with this right now but when you did your recital,
    you said --
15
             MR. LEE: Me neither, Your Honor.
16
17
             THE COURT: -- May 23rd. You put the May 23rd -- so
    you're the one --
18
             MR. LEE: My bad; yes.
19
             THE COURT: -- who put this -- I wasn't expecting that
20
21
    it was going to be resolved during the hearing but when you
22
    stood and say May 23rd, you put that issue on the table.
23
             MR. LEE: Yes, let me -- may I address this with Mr.
24
    Shore, Your Honor?
25
             THE COURT: Go ahead. Please. Why don't you go
```

```
ahead. Step back to --
 1
 2
         (Counsel confer)
             THE COURT: I'll tell you what, no let's not deal with
 3
 4
    it now, okay?
 5
             MR. SHORE: Correct.
 6
             THE COURT: It may -- the order that was entered says
 7
    May 21st at 9:00 a.m. That's the operative document and what I
 8
    had understood was going to happen, the parties to the RMBS
    trial were going to confer and fine, if you could let me know
 9
10
    now, but I don't want to take the time to deal with this now.
    You'll deal with it with each other and hopefully resolve the
11
12
    issue and you'll notify me, okay?
             MR. SHORE: Very good, Your Honor.
13
14
             THE COURT: So, but what I would like to do, Ms.
    Foudy, is it seems to me -- Ms. Foudy, can you confirm that the
15
16
    parties to the cross-motions have -- are supporting this term
17
    sheet that I have not seen yet but that will -- and if we go
    forward with the next steps, meaning a motion to approve the
18
19
    plan support agreements and if that's approved, I don't need to
    go forward with the hearing on the cross-motions.
20
21
             MS. FOUDY: Your Honor, I have not been involved in
22
    the negotiations that Mr. Lee has been involved with.
    just saying to Mr. Lee that it's the investors represented by
23
```

Quinn Emanuel, the investors represented by Lowenstein Sandler

24

25

and --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: What's Mr. Etkin saying in the back? MS. FOUDY: Yes. And Mr. Etkin is here and Nelson Cohen is on the phone for Zuckerman Spaeder and that's the third group. And I do believe that Quinn Emanuel is a party to the term sheet; correct? MR. LEE: Correct. MS. FOUDY: So, it's Mr. Etkin is here and Nelson Cohen is on the phone. THE COURT: Mr. Etkin, do you want to come on up? MR. ETKIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael Etkin, Lowenstein Sandler on behalf of several parties in connection with the cross-motions for summary judgment to be joined in those motions. To answer the Court's question, one of my clients has been involved in fits and starts with respect to the process that's led up to the term sheet, although we have not seen it yet nor have we seen a copy of the plan support agreement. Two other clients were individual plaintiffs, as a -and I'm talking about the class action plaintiff, the New Jersey Carpenters. Two other clients were individual plaintiffs in RMBS securities litigation, have not been involved in the process. THE COURT: Here's what I would like to do though. I mean it seems to me that there was no immediate urgency to get

the issue on these cross-motions resolved, you know, right now.

They need to get resolved. I don't doubt that.

But it does seem to me in light of the substantial progress that's been made over the last week and over the last few days, that because it -- it may be and I hope it is, unnecessary for the Court to resolve the issues raised by the cross-motions. So what I would like to do, adjourn the hearing and we'll get a new hearing date for it. We can wait and see. It would be -- if the RMBS trial goes forward on May 28th, it will be after the trial is concluded but it's not going to be pushed off for very long.

But is there any reason why I shouldn't do that, Mr. Etkin?

MR. ETKIN: Your Honor, from the stand point of the New Jersey Carpenters who have been to some extent involved, that would be no problem. As a general matter, if you're asking me, I think it makes sense but in fairness --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. ETKIN: -- I do have two other clients that have not been involved and who don't necessarily fit into this space in any way.

THE COURT: Since I don't need everybody's consent to do this, I'm going to adjourn the hearing on the cross-motions -- are all the briefs in at this point, Ms. Foudy or are there still more briefs coming?

MR. ETKIN: I think it's fully briefed, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I don't want any more 1 2 briefs from anybody, that's for sure. So, everybody ought to stop work on briefs and we just need to let the dust settle a 3 4 little bit and we'll get it rescheduled, if necessary. Okay? 5 MR. ETKIN: I appreciate the Court's handling it that 6 way. 7 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Etkin. 8 MR. ETKIN: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Foudy, thank you very much. 9 10 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. FOUDY: THE COURT: Okay. What's next? Mr. Rosenbaum? 11 MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, I think that concludes the 12 13 agenda for today. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Does anybody have anything else 15 they want to raise? Let me just make some last comments 16 because there obviously have been significant developments in 17 this case. I think Mr. Lee's comments earlier regarding the yeoman's service that my colleague, Judge Peck, has played in 18 19 connection with the mediation that has led to where things are today and hopefully -- I know there's a lot of work that 20 21 remains to be done. 22 The other issue that was addressed in the chambers 23 conference earlier today regarding the RMBS trial which is 24 scheduled for the 28th is with respect to the filing of the

proposed direct testimony and I guess initially this affects

25

the debtors. I declined -- I think I had extended that over 1 2 the last day or so, I've extended the date -- the deadline for filing that by a day, I think it was. And what I indicated in 3 4 the off the record conference and am putting on the record now is that the Court agrees that the direct testimony will be 5 6 exchanged among the parties but not filed at this point. This 7 was done previously with respect to briefing in connection with the RMBS trial where the parties exchanged briefs quite some 8 time before they actually have to file it. It may be 9 10 unnecessary for the testimony ever to be filed. We'll just see how events unfold. But the Court will require that the 11 testimony -- direct testimony -- proposed direct testimony be 12 13 exchanged but not filed at this point. 14 Mr. Lee, that probably should be reflected in a 15 written order that you can submit but I indicated -- or Mr. 16 Princi, you're approaching the microphone. 17 MR. PRINCI: Your Honor, may I confer? THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. 18 MR. SHORE: If I may? 19 THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Shore. Wait a minute. 20 21 (Counsel confer) 22 MR. LEE: Your Honor, would it be possible for us to 23 just have a brief opportunity just to have a discussion with

Mr. Shore and Mr. Eckstein about precisely what it is that

24

25

people have agreed to?

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LEE: If I may; thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. SHORE: And let me just -- Chris Shore from White & Case on behalf of the junior secured notes, just as a process point for the record, as has been noted, we have filed objections both with respect to the 3013 and the 510(b) action and with respect to the RMBS trial. We fully understand that the debtors are moving towards a deal. We applaud their efforts and everything that's been said on that. There are people who are outside the deal right now who are not being kept informed and then moving and taking actions which are affecting those parties' rights who are not in the deal right now, has to have some process to it.

I understand that there was a status conference this morning. We weren't in that status conference. I think other people weren't in those status conferences. So, we're going to have to make sure that in this process going forward that appropriate consents are obtained and people are kept in the process even if the larger deal which is critical to these cases, as I've said to the Court, goes on. There's still a lot of stuff out there that isn't resolved.

THE COURT: Mr. Shore, my view; I have not done anything to affect the substance of any of the claims or the proceedings. The May 28th trial remains on track. I refuse to

```
adjourn the filing of -- the providing of the direct testimony
 1
    until after the examiner's report was released. It's remaining
 2
    exactly as it was. The only difference is that as was done
 3
 4
    with the briefs that were the objections to the RMBS
    settlement, the testimony will be exchanged but not filed at
 5
 6
    this point. So your substantive rights have not been affected
 7
    at all.
             MR. SHORE: Very good, Your Honor.
 8
 9
         (Counsel confer)
10
             THE COURT: Does Mr. Uzzi want to be part -- no,
    you're going --
11
             MR. LEE: Your Honor, if we may just step out for a
12
    minute?
13
14
             THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.
15
             MR. LEE: I apologize.
16
             THE COURT: That's all right.
17
         (Counsel confer)
18
             MR. LEE: Gary Lee from Morrison & Foerster for the
19
    debtors.
             Your Honor, I apologize but I think that we need to
20
21
    have a -- sort of a broader discussion with counsel for the
22
    junior secured noteholders about the date on which the plan
    support agreement gets filed in the RMBS and we'll have that
23
24
    discussion and then report back to the Court if it's all right
25
    with Your Honor.
```

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL LLC, ET AL. THE COURT: Okay. MR. LEE: Thank you very much. THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else for today? We're adjourned. Thank you. MR. LEE: Thank you, Your Honor. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:05 AM)

	Pg 41 0f 42	1
		41
1		
2	INDEX	
3		
4	RULINGS	
5	Page Line	
6	MED&G's motion to lift the stay - denied 17 21	
7	Motion of Tikhonov for Contempt - denied 20 6	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	ocaribona IIC (072) 406 2250	
	eScribers, LLC (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net www.escribers.net	

CERTIFICATION I, Linda Ferrara, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Linea Ferrara May 15, 2013 LINDA FERRARA DATE AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D 656 eScribers 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 New York, NY 10040